Statement

Central allocation, releases available funds, utilisation and benefits under major rural development programmes during the last three years i.e. 2004-05 to 2006-07 in respect of Rajasthan

State: Rajasthan (*Rs. in Lakhs*)

Schemes	Central Allocation	Central Release	Total Available Funds	Total Utilisation	Physical Achievement (Benefits Accrued)	Physical Achievement Unit
SGSY	9460.65	8826.23	17344.58	12886.88	107146.00	**Total Swarojgaris assisted (nos)**
SGRY	41817.60	57870.83	82741.06	64128.03	564.78	Employment in Lakh Mandays
NFFWP/NREGA	11399.27#	91702.38	102456.35	78974.8	1110.88	Employment in Lakh Mandays
IAY	17281.77	4971.71	27105.14	24477.06	102824.00	No. of Houses Constructed
PMGSY	58800.00	224482.00	224482.00	228732.00	29050.99	Length of roads (Kms.)
ARWSP	114763.58	111041.40	135009.42	106094.48	31806.00	Habitations covered (nos)
TSC	11009.23	7749.90	9469.48	1364.55	361463.00◆	No. of Toilets constructed

SGSY: Swarnjayanti Gram Swarojgar Yojana (SGSY)

SGRY: Sampoorna Grameen Rozgar Yojana

NFFWP : National Food For Work Programme

NREGA : National Rural Employment Guarantee Act

IAY :Indira Awaas Yojana

PMGSY : Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana

ARWSP : Accelerated Rural Water Supply Programme

TSC :Total Sanitation Campaign

Note : NREGA is demand driven schemes, State-wise allocation is not done

◆ Include individuals household latrines constructed, village sanitation completed and school toilets etc.

Central allocation is only for NFFWP during 2004-05 and 2005-06.

Total available funds include central release + opening balance as on 1st April + State releases and Miscellaneous receipts.

Revised list of BPL People

†2146. DR. GYAN PRAKASH PILANIA:
SHRI LALIT KISHORE CHATURVEDI:

Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether revised lists of BPL people across country have been prepared, if so, State-wise details thereof;

(b) whether revised list of such people in Rajasthan have been prepared if not by when these are likely to be published;

† Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.

(c) whether it is a fact that Central Government have directed to shorten these revised lists thereby causing great resentment among BPL people;

(d) the criterion for deleting names from the lists; and

(e) whether it is also a fact that the current list has lost its relevance and requires revision?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRIMATI SURYAKANTA PATIL): (a) and (b) 21 States and UTs (including Rajasthan) have finalized the BPL list on the basis of BPL Census 2002, and the details are given enclosed statement (*see* below). The Government of Rajasthan have already posted the New BPL list on the portal http:bp12002.Raj.nic.in.

(c) and (d) For BPL Census 2002, the Ministry had issued the guidelines to the States and UTs requesting them to identify the total number of BPL rural households in such a way that it should be equal to the Poverty Estimates 1999-2000 or the Adjusted Share worked out by the Planning Commission which ever is higher. Another 10% flexibility is allowed to account for the transient poor. Therefore, the States and UTs were informed their upper limit for identification of BPL households.

(e) Generally, the BPL Census is conducted in the beginning of the Five Year Plan, however, the results of BPL Census 2002 conducted for the 10th Five Year Plan could not be finalized because the Hon'ble Supreme Court had passed a stay order. The stay was vacated only on 14.2.2006 after which the BPL lists were approved by the Gram Sabhas. The Ministry had already issued the guidelines that in order to redress the public grievances with regard to their status in BPL list, the option of two-stage appeal mechanism can always be availed which is a continuous process and the BPL list continues to be relevant.

Statement

BPL List on the basis of BPL Census, 2002

(*in lakhs*)

Sl. No.	States/UTs	BPL families identified
1	2	3
1.	Andhra Pradesh	29.893
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	0.83
3.	Assam	18.728
4.	Bihar	
5.	Chhattisgarh	17.892
6.	Goa	0.071
7.	Gujarat	14.512
8.	Haryana	
9.	Himachal Pradesh	2.823
10.	J & K	
11.	Jharkhand	24.81
12.	Karnataka	19.19

1	2	3
13.	Kerala	
14.	Madhya Pradesh	40.842
15.	Maharashtra	45.025
16.	Manipur	
17.	Meghalaya	
18.	Mizoram	0.374
19.	Nagaland	1.558
20.	Orissa	
21.	Punjab	3.445
22.	Rajasthan	17.362
23.	Sikkim	
24.	Tamil Nadu	34.848
25.	Tripura	
26.	Uttar Pradesh	100.271
27.	Uttarakhand	6.238
28.	West Bengal	39.25
29.	A & N Island❖	0.107
30.	D&N Haveli	
31.	Daman & Diu	0.005
32.	Lakshdweep	
33.	Puducherry	

❖ for Andaman only

NB — States and UTs have been advised to strictly adhere to the upper limit prescribed for them for identification of BPL rural households.

Suggestions for the effective implementation of NREG

†2147. DR. MURLI MANOHAR JOSHI:
SHRI RAJ MOHINDER SINGH MAJITHA:

Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the reports of huge loopholes in the implementation of National Rural Employment Guarantee Programme have come to the notice of Government;

(b) if so, the details in this regard;

(c) whether several suggestion for the effective implementation of this programme have also been received;

(d) if so, the details of suggestions received from various sources; and

(e) the steps taken by Government to implement the said programme effectively?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI CHANDRA SEKHAR SAHU): (a) and (b) CAG was requested by the Ministry to undertake performance audit of NREGA for the year 2006-07 in 68 phase I districts. In its

† Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.